REMARKS

1. Summary of the Office Action

In the Office Action mailed October 13, 2010, the disposition of the claims is as follows:

Claims 10 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman (U.S. Patent No. 6,442,165), in view of McLampy (U.S. Patent No. 7,028,092), Acharya (U.S. Patent No. 7,110,359), and Daniels-Barnes (U.S. Patent No. 6,571,277).

Claims 13 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman, in view of McLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, and Luther (U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0023877).

Claims 27 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman, in view of McLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, and O'Neill (European Patent No. EP 1,137,236).

Claims 28 and 36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman, in view of McLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, O'Neill, Faccin (U.S. Patent No. 7,024,688), and Donovan ("SIP Session Timer").

Claims 29 and 37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman, in view of MeLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, and Edwards (U.S. Patent No. 6,744,877).

Claim 30 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman, in view of McLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, and Luther.

Claim 38 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman, in view of MeLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, Luther, and Wallenius (U.S. Patent No. 7,139,813).

Claim 40 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sitaraman,

in view of MeLampy, Acharya, Daniels-Barnes, Luther, and Edwards.

Claim 39 stands objected to, but would be allowable if re-written in independent form.

2. Status of the claims

Currently pending are claims 10, 13, 16, 26, 29-30, 37 and 40. Of these, claims 10, 13,

and 26 are independent.

3. Rejection of independent claims 10, 13, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Applicants have amended the subject matter of objected to claim 39, as well as

intervening claim 38, into independent claim 13. Thus, per the Office Action, claim 13 is now

allowable.

Additionally, Applicants have amended subject matter they believe to be analogous to

that of claims 38 and 39 into independent claims 10 and 26. Accordingly, Applicants submit that

these claims are now allowable as well.

Further, without acquiescing to any arguments made in the Office Action and not

addressed herein, Applicants submit that all dependent claims are also allowable at least for the

reason that they depend from an allowable claim.

4. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants express appreciation for the Examiner's statement that claim 39 recites

allowable subject matter, Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the

claim and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone

or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or

8

structure recited by the allowed claim.

McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE, 32ND FLOOR

CHICAGO, IL 606

(312)913-0001

Applicants also understand that the Examiner has found the claim as a whole to

patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claim does not rest on

only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Additionally, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record

of prosecution as a whole, and that the Examiner's statements regarding the allowability of claim

39 are unnecessary.

5. Summary

Applicants respectfully submit that, in view of the remarks above, all claims are in

condition for allowance and solicit action to that end. If there are any matters that may be

resolved or clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

contact Applicants' undersigned representative at (312) 913-3361.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen

Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: January 13, 2011

By: /Michael S. Borella/ Michael S. Borella

Reg. No. 62,361

McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE, 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606 .